1 2	BARBARA J. PARKER, City Attorney, SBN 069722 OTIS McGEE, JR., Chief Assistant City Attorney, SBN 071885 COLIN T. BOWEN, Supervising Trial Attorney, SBN 152489		
3	SELIA M. WARREN, Deputy City Attorney, SBN JASON M. ALLEN, Deputy City Attorney, SBN 2		
4	One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor Oakland, California 94612		
5	Telephone: (510) 238-3839 (Allen) Facsimile: (510) 238-6500		
6	Email: jallen@oaklandcityattorney.org X04143/2419410		
7	Attorneys for Defendant		
8	CITY OF OAKLAND		
9	CHARLES A. BONNER, Esq., SBN 85413		
10	A.CABRAL BONNER, Esq., SBN 247528 Law Offices of Bonner & Bonner		
11	475 Gate Five Road, Ste. 212 Sausalito, CA 94965		
12	Tel: 415-331-3070; Fax: 415-331-2738		
13	Email: cbonner799@aol.com		
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs ALI SALEEM BEY AND JOHN MUHAMMED BEY		
15			
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
18	ALI SALEEM BEY and JOHN MUHAMMAD	Case No. 14-cv-01626-JSC	
19	BEY,	JOINT STIPULATION AND	
20	Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE AND CASE	
21	v.	MANAGEMENT DATES	
22	CITY OF OAKLAND and DOES 1-100 Defendants.	Judge: Honorable Jacqueline Scott Corley	
23		Date Action Filed: April 19, 2014 Trial Date: November 26, 2018	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Defendant City of Oakland (the "City") and Plaintiffs Ali Saleem Bey and John Muhammed Bey ("Plaintiffs) hereby respectfully submit this joint Stipulation and Order to continue the trial date and associated case management dates in this matter pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b)(4). As explained further below, the parties submit there is good cause to continue the dates because the parties, despite their continuing cooperation, have been unable to complete discovery in this matter.

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Although this case was filed in 2014, Plaintiffs initially appeared in *pro per*, and the pleadings were not finalized until mid-2016. Plaintiffs obtained current counsel in August 2016, and the initial case management conference was held that month. An unsuccessful settlement conference took place in September 2016 with Magistrate Judge Maria Elena James.

In February 2017, Plaintiffs served written discovery on Defendant, which included more than 119 document requests. Although the City objects to some of the document requests, the City concedes that many of the requests seek relevant documents (including Internal Affairs files, Citizens' Police Review Board files, criminal investigation files, transcripts, communications, notes, etc.) that date back (in some cases) as far as 2005, given the Court's ruling that some of Plaintiff's time-barred claims "may still serve as relevant evidence" to their timely Section 1983 and 1985 claims. (Dkt. 72, at 20.)

Many of the documents Plaintiffs seek constitute confidential personnel records under California law, or are otherwise confidential. Accordingly, the parties negotiated a stipulated Protective Order for highly confidential information, which the Court approved on April 26, 2017.

The City continues searching for and producing documents in response to Plaintiffs' document requests on a rolling basis. The City's production has been labor-intensive for several reasons, including, but not limited to: (1) the sheer volume of requests and responsive documents, which includes numerous documents related the approximately 150 Internal Affairs Division cases arising out of the Occupy Oakland events of 2011; (2) many of the documents were created by or pertain to individuals who are no longer employed by the Oakland Police Department or the City, making them more difficult to locate or, alternatively, to reasonably confirm that they no longer exist; and (3) many of the documents consist of confidential police personnel records or contain private information of third

Case 3:14-cv-01626-JSC Document 146 Filed 06/05/18 Page 3 of 5

1 parties (such as crime victims and complainants) and were not created electronically, requiring close 2 review and manual redaction. Still, City has produced over 5,800 pages of documents, plus a number of electronic audio and video files. The City continues its efforts to gather, review, and produce 3 responsive documents. Additionally, the parties have conducted several depositions thus far and are 4 working to schedule additional depositions, including Plaintiffs'. 5 The parties have met and conferred on available trial dates, and accordingly request that the 6 Court continue the trial to the mutually-agreeable date of January 28, 2019. 7 /// 8 /// 9 /// 10 /// 11 /// 12 /// 13 /// 14 /// 15 /// 16 /// 17 /// 18 /// 19 /// 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 28

1 **STIPULATION** 2 Based on the foregoing, the parties hereby stipulate, subject to the Court's approval, to continue 3 the trial and case management dates as follows: 4 5 Proposed Date 6 Fact Discovery Cut-off August 24, 2018 7 Deadline for Hearing Dispositive Motions October 25, 2018 8 **Expert Disclosures** October 11, 2018 9 **Expert Discovery Cut-off** November 8, 2018 10 Pretrial Conference January 10, 2019, at 2:00 p.m. 11 Trial January 28, 2019, at 8:30 a.m. 12 13 Dated: June 4, 2018 BARBARA J. PARKER, City Attorney 14 OTIS McGEE, JR., Chief Assistant City Attorney COLIN T. BOWEN, Supervising Trial Attorney 15 SELIA M. WARREN, Deputy City Attorney JASON ALLEN, Deputy City Attorney 16 17 By:_/s/ Jason M. Allen* JASON M. ALLEN 18 Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF OAKLAND 19 *I hereby attest that I have obtained the 20 concurrence in the filing of this document for all signatories indicated by a conformed signature 21 (/S/). 22 Dated: June 4, 2018 23 Law Offices of Bonner & Bonner 24 By:_/s/ A. Cabral Bonner 25 A. Cabral Bonner Attorneys for Plaintiffs 26 ALI SALEEM BAY AND JOHN MUHAMMED BEY 27 28

ORDER

Based on the stipulation of the parties, the Court finds good case to continue the trial date and case management dates as follows:

	Proposed Date
Fact Discovery Cut-off	August 24, 2018
Deadline for Hearing Dispositive Motions	October 25, 2018
Expert Disclosures	October 11, 2018
Expert Discovery Cut-off	November 8, 2018
Pretrial Conference	January 10, 2019 at 2:00 p.m.
Trial	January 28, 2019 at 8:30 a.m.

The parties shall otherwise comply with the requirements of the Court's previous Pretrial Order dated October 28, 2016 (Doc. No. 93).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 5, 2018

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE